

SYSTEM UTILIZATION MONTHLY REPORT

for the month ending

June 2024

<http://www.tccustomerexpress.com/2885.html>

Published date:

August 15th, 2024

Highlights This Month:

NOVA Gas Transmission Ltd.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>MONTHLY FEATURES</u>	<u>PAGE</u>
Firm Transportation Service Contract Utilization	3
Design Capability Utilization	
Upper Peace River	4
Upper & Central Peace River	5
Peace River Design	6
Upstream James River	7
Eastern Alberta Mainline (James River to Princess)	8
Alberta/BC Border	9
Rimbey Nevis – Flow Within	10
South & Alderson – Flow Within	11
Medicine Hat - Flow Within	12
Eastern Alberta Mainline (Princess to Empress/McNeill)	13
Ft. McMurray Area – Flow Within	14
Kirby Area – Flow Within	15
North of Bens Lake – Flow Within	16
North & South of Bens Lake – Flow Within	17
Future Firm Transportation Service Availability	18
How to Use This Report	19
<u>REFERENCES</u>	
NGTL Design Areas Map	20
NGTL Pipeline Segments Map	21
Definition of Terms	22

Utilization reports are posted approximately six weeks after the end of the reported month.

If you have any questions on the content of this report, contact Colin Cooper at (403) 463-6241 or colin_cooper@tcenergy.com.

FIRM TRANSPORTATION SERVICE¹ CONTRACT UTILIZATION³

By NGTL Pipeline Segments


June 2024

Segment	Contract	Delivery		Receipt	
		Utilization	Jun CD (TJ/d)	Utilization	Jun CD (MMcf/d)
UPRM	FT	0%	0.0	96%	79
	FT + IT ²	0%		96%	
PRLL	FT	36%	27.4	71%	256
	FT + IT	50%		71%	
NWML	FT	0%	0.0	77%	136
	FT + IT	0%		77%	
GRDL	FT	0%	0.0	90%	5,290
	FT + IT	0%		92%	
WAEX	FT	51%	17.8	73%	1,105
	FT + IT	62%		74%	
JUDY	FT	31%	19.6	83%	21
	FT + IT	38%		96%	
GPML	FT	47%	374.3	78%	5,410
	FT + IT	89%		78%	
CENT	FT	7%	10.4	60%	2,453
	FT + IT	22%		61%	
LPOL	FT	64%	621.7	68%	1,020
	FT + IT	71%		70%	
WGAT	FT	67%	4,751.4	95%	197
	FT + IT	67%		118%	
ALEG	FT	31%	412.2	93%	417
	FT + IT	32%		125%	
SLAT	FT	15%	190.7	98%	82
	FT + IT	15%		109%	
MLAT	FT	72%	311.6	96%	64
	FT + IT	72%		104%	
BLEG	FT	15%	182.8	96%	383
	FT + IT	16%		115%	
EGAT	FT	92%	5,431.5	99%	7
	FT + IT	97%		109%	
MRTN	FT	40%	28.5	71%	61
	FT + IT	41%		104%	
LIEG	FT	62%	2,455.9	65%	14
	FT + IT	63%		106%	
KIRB	FT	84%	1,820.0	83%	12
	FT + IT	86%		134%	
REDL	FT	7%	17.9	82%	10
	FT + IT	7%		114%	
COLD	FT	70%	290.1	83%	9
	FT + IT	70%		146%	
EDM	FT	39%	1,910.8	94%	30
	FT + IT	39%		116%	
NLAT	FT	16%	301.6	89%	80
	FT + IT	16%		102%	
WAIN	FT	6%	0.3	74%	1
	FT + IT	95%		252%	
ELAT	FT	54%	328.6	90%	61
	FT + IT	54%		126%	
TOTAL SYSTEM	FT	69%	19,504.9	79%	17,197
	FT + IT	72%		83%	

***NOTE:**



1. FT includes all receipt and delivery Firm Transportation Services.
2. IT includes receipt and delivery Interruptible Services.
3. Utilization data is based on billed monthly volumes. Percent utilization calculated as FT and FT + IT billed volumes divided by applicable receipt or delivery Contract level.

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION UPPER PEACE RIVER




Throughput vs. Design Capability

Upper Peace River




% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
	18%	19%	19%	22%	16%	21%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION UPPER and CENTRAL PEACE RIVER



Throughput vs. Design Capability
Upper and Central Peace River



Historical Flow
 Gas Year Markers
 Capability

% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
	75%	80%	79%	83%	79%	82%



DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION

PEACE RIVER DESIGN

(Upper, Central and Lower Peace River)




Throughput vs. Design Capability




% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
	85%	88%	87%	85%	81%	83%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION UPSTREAM JAMES RIVER

(Edson Mainline, Peace River Design and Marten Hills)



Throughput vs. Design Capability
Upstream James River



Historical Flow
 Gas Year Markers
 Capability


% Design Capability Utilization						
Average	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Flow/	90%	91%	90%	87%	84%	85%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION EASTERN ALBERTA MAINLINE (James River to Princess)



Throughput vs. Design Capability

Eastern Alberta Mainline - James River to Princess



% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
	106%	105%	100%	96%	91%	98%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION



ALBERTA / BC BORDER

(Alberta/B.C. Border)




Throughput vs. Design Capability

Alberta / BC Border




% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
	91%	93%	87%	79%	75%	77%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION RIMBEY-NEVIS – FLOW WITHIN



Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability

Rimbey Nevis - Delivery Capability




% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
	93%	86%	84%	97%	90%	68%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION SOUTH and ALDERSON – FLOW WITHIN




Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability

South and Alderson - Delivery Capability




% Design Capability Utilization						
Average	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Flow/	61%	52%	46%	54%	41%	30%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION MEDICINE HAT – FLOW WITHIN



Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability

Medicine Hat - Delivery Capability




% Design Capability Utilization						
Average	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Flow/	82%	81%	80%	76%	68%	72%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION EASTERN ALBERTA MAINLINE (Princess to Empress / McNeill)




Throughput vs. Design Capability

Eastern Gate - Empress & McNeill Borders





% Design Capability Utilization						
Average	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Flow/	104%	99%	89%	93%	97%	100%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION FT. McMURRAY AREA – FLOW WITHIN




Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability

Ft. McMurray Area - Delivery Capability





% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
	89%	87%	87%	83%	73%	83%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION KIRBY AREA – FLOW WITHIN




Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability

Kirby Area - Delivery Capability




% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
	102%	104%	102%	102%	102%	102%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION NORTH OF BENS LAKE – FLOW WITHIN




Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability

North of Bens Lake - Delivery Capability




% Design Capability Utilization						
Average Flow/	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
	87%	84%	83%	85%	80%	81%

DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION NORTH and EAST – FLOW WITHIN



Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability
North and East - Delivery Capability



% Design Capability Utilization						
Average	Jan	Feb	Mar	Apr	May	Jun
Flow/	86%	83%	82%	84%	77%	78%

FUTURE FIRM TRANSPORTATION SERVICE AVAILABILITY

Please consult with your Marketing Representative to discuss your Firm Transportation Service needs.

Estimated Firm Transportation Service Availability

Please refer to the following web site for
current FT-R / FT-D Availability Maps:

<http://www.tccustomerexpress.com/2801.html>

HOW TO USE THIS REPORT

Overview

This report contains recent historical information on the level of utilization of firm transportation Service Agreements on the NGTL system, relative usage of interruptible service, level of utilization of design pipeline capacity.

Data is reported either by *Pipeline Segment* (25 segments make up the system) or *Design Area* (13 Design Areas for the system). Maps of both are included in the reference section.

Firm Transportation Service Contract Utilization

The Firm Transportation Service Contract Utilization report shows the percent utilization for each of the 25 NGTL pipeline segments and 3 major export delivery points comprising the total system. The utilization data is based on billed monthly volumes. Percent utilization is calculated as firm transportation service and firm transportation service + interruptible service divided by applicable receipt or delivery contract level. Historical Data involving billed volumes lags the current date by approximately two months.

Design Capability Utilization

The load factor/segment flow graphs show actual flow versus design capability values for various NGTL system areas. The graphs also show seasonal (winter/summer) design capability and average load factors (LF) for each season. Load factors are obtained by comparing the receipt, delivery, or throughput flow condition in each of the Alberta design areas against the corresponding design capability. Consequently, design capability utilization is measured as Average Actual Flow / Seasonal Design Capability. Data used in these reports lags the current date by at least one month.


Design Flow Capability utilization is a function of several factors that include:

- Total market demand for Alberta natural gas.
- Seasonal changes in market demand for Alberta natural gas.
- Receipt nominating practices of customers individually and in aggregate to meet that level of demand.
- Scheduled maintenance which could effect actual flow requirement in a design area at any given time.
- Design assumptions used in determining required segment flow requirement.

Future Firm Transportation Service Availability

The Future Firm Transportation Service Availability report presents guidelines and timing for all future firm transportation service requests.

NGTL Design Areas



(Last updated Oct 2019)

DEFINITION OF TERMS

Design Capability Utilization

Actual Flow

The amount of gas flowing within or out of the design area.

Design Capability

The volume of gas that can be transported from the design area on the pipeline system considering given design assumptions.

AVGLF (Average Load Factor)

The ratio between average *Actual Flow* and *Design Capability*. It is calculated for every design season (summer/winter) as shown on the graphs.

Intra NGTL System Deliveries

The amount of sales gas flowing off the system within an area.

Receipt Flow

Aggregate of actual receipts within an area and the *Actual Flow* of the upstream area.

Other

System Load Factor

The volume weighted average of the *Average Load Factor (AVGLF)* of all design areas on the system
