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Utilization reports are posted approximately six weeks after the end of the reported month.

If you have any questions on the content of this report, contact Colin Cooper at (403) 463-6241 or colin_cooper@tcenergy.com.

FIRM TRANSPORTATION SERVICE ${ }^{1}$ CONTRACT UTILIZATION ${ }^{3}$
By NGTL Pipeline Segments
January 2024

| Segment | Contract | Delivery |  | Receipt |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Utilization | Jan CD <br> (TJ/d) | Utilization | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Jan CD } \\ \text { (MMef/d) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| UPRM | FT | 0\% | 0.0 | 94\% | 57 |
|  | $\mathbf{F T}+\mathbf{I T}^{\mathbf{2}}$ | 0\% |  | 95\% |  |
| PRLL | FT | 71\% | 27.1 | 76\% | 247 |
|  | FT + IT | 104\% |  | 76\% |  |
| NWML | FT | 0\% | 0.0 | 77\% | 142 |
|  | FT + IT | 0\% |  | 77\% |  |
| GRDL | FT | 0\% | 0.0 | 85\% | 5,285 |
|  | FT + IT | 0\% |  | 86\% |  |
| WAEX | FT | 67\% | 18.1 | 69\% | 1,064 |
|  | FT + IT | 120\% |  | 70\% |  |
| JUDY | FT | 79\% | 19.6 | 84\% | 21 |
|  | FT + IT | 98\% |  | 92\% |  |
| GPML | FT | 53\% | 318.4 | 79\% | 5,411 |
|  | FT + IT | 73\% |  | 79\% |  |
| CENT | FT | 41\% | 10.4 | 58\% | 2,562 |
|  | FT + IT | 47\% |  | 58\% |  |
| LPOL | FT | 64\% | 646.6 | 69\% | 1,016 |
|  | FT + IT | 67\% |  | 73\% |  |
| WGAT | FT | $86 \%$ | 4,745.3 | $97 \%$ | 205 |
|  | $\mathbf{F T}+\mathbf{I T}$ | $87 \%$ |  | $113 \%$ |  |
| ALEG | FT | 67\% | 414.7 | 89\% | 427 |
|  | FT + IT | 68\% |  | 114\% |  |
| SLAT | FT | 54\% | 189.5 | 95\% | 80 |
|  | FT + IT | 54\% |  | 110\% |  |
| MLAT | FT | 86\% | 312.6 | 89\% | 71 |
|  | FT + IT | 86\% |  | 98\% |  |
| BLEG | FT | $53 \%$ | 181.2 | $97 \%$ | 369 |
|  | $\mathbf{F T}+\mathbf{I T}$ | $57 \%$ |  | $115 \%$ |  |
| EGAT | FT | 96\% | 5,431.5 | 98\% | 6 |
|  | FT+IT | 107\% |  | 106\% |  |
| MRTN | FT | 64\% | 28.5 | 78\% | 65 |
|  | FT + IT | 75\% |  | 93\% |  |
| LIEG | FT | 81\% | 2,189.3 | 53\% | 16 |
|  | FT + IT | 83\% |  | 86\% |  |
| KIRB | FT | $90 \%$ | 1,782.9 | $69 \%$ | 11 |
|  | $\mathbf{F T}+\mathbf{I T}$ | $92 \%$ |  | $140 \%$ |  |
| REDL | FT | 43\% | 17.9 | $64 \%$ | 8 |
|  | FT+IT | 43\% |  | $105 \%$ |  |
| COLD | FT | 80\% | 290.1 | 92\% | 5 |
|  | FT+IT | 80\% |  | 203\% |  |
| EDM | FT | 68\% | 1,892.5 | 95\% | 31 |
|  | FT + IT | 69\% |  | 116\% |  |
| NLAT | FT | $60 \%$ | 301.1 | 85\% | 81 |
|  | $\mathbf{F T}+\mathbf{I T}$ | $60 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ |  |
| WAIN | FT | 70\% | 0.3 | 64\% | 2 |
|  | FT + IT | 178\% |  | 162\% |  |
| ELAT | FT | 84\% | 326.3 | 85\% | 59 |
|  | FT+IT | 84\% |  | 126\% |  |
| TOTAL SYSTEM | FT | 84\% | 19,143.7 | 77\% | 17,243 |
|  | FT+ IT | 88\% |  | 80\% |  |

## *NOTE:

1. FT includes all receipt and delivery Firm Transportation Services.
2. IT includes receipt and delivery Interruptible Services.
3. Utilization data is based on billed monthly volumes. Percent utilization calculated as FT and FT + IT billed volumes divided by applicable receipt or delivery Contract level.

## DESIGN CAPABILITYUTILIZATION UPPER PEACE RIVER

## Throughput vs. Design Capability



| \% Design Capability Utilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |
| Flow/ | $21 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $14 \%$ |

## DESIGN CAPABILITYUTILIZATION UPPER and CENTRAL PEACE RIVER

Throughput vs. Design Capability


| \% Design Capability Utilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |
| Flow/ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $73 \%$ |

## DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION PEACE RIVER DESIGN <br> (Upper, Central and Lower Peace River)

Throughput vs. Design Capability
$10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{d}$
Peace River Design
mmcf/d

$\square$ Historical Flow $\quad-\cdots$ Gas Year Markers $\quad$ Capability

| \% Design Capability Utilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |
| Flow/ | $84 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ |

# DESIGN CAPABILITYUTILIZATION UPSTREAM JAMES RIVER <br> (Edson Mainline, Peace River Design and Marten Hills) 



| \%/o Design Capability Utilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |
| Flow/ | $86 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ |

## DESIGN CAPABILITY UTILIZATION EASTERN ALBERTA MAINLINE <br> (James River to Princess)

Throughput vs. Design Capability
$10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{d}$
Eastern Alberta Mainline - James River to Princess
mmcf/d


| \% Design Capability Utilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |
| Flow/ | $98 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $95 \%$ | $95 \%$ |

## DESIGN CAPABILITYUTILIZATION ALBERTA/BC BORDER

(Alberta/B.C. Border)

Throughput vs. Design Capability


## \% Design Capability Utilization

| Average | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow $/$ | $87 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ | $88 \%$ |

## DESIGN CAPABILITYUTILIZATION RIMBEY-NEVIS - FLOW WITHIN

Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability
$10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{d}$
Rimbey Nevis - Delivery Capability
mmcf/d


$$
\square \text { Historical Flow } \quad-\cdots-\text { Gas Year Markers } \quad \square \text { Capability }
$$

| \% Design Capability Utilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |
| Flow/ | $80 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $68 \%$ | $68 \%$ |

## DESIGN CAPABILITYUTILIZATION SOUTH and ALDERSON - FLOW WITHIN

Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability

$\square$ Historical Flow $\quad-\cdots-$ Gas Year Markers $\quad \square$ Capability

| \% Design Capability Utilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |
| Flow/ | $38 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $46 \%$ |

## DESIGN CAPABILITYUTILIZATION MEDICINE HAT - FLOW WITHIN

Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability


| \% Design Capability Utilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |
| Flow $/$ | $73 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ | $62 \%$ |

## DESIGN CAPABILITYUTILIZATION EASTERN ALBERTA MAINLINE (Princess to Empress / McNeill)

Throughput vs. Design Capability

\% Design Capability Utilization

| Average | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flow/ | $80 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ |

## DESIGN CAPABILITYUTILIZATION FT. McMURRAYAREA - FLOW WITHIN

Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability
$10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{d}$
Ft. McMurray Area - Delivery Capability
mmcf/d

$\square$ Historical Flow $\quad-\cdots$ Gas Year Markers $\quad$ Capability

| \% Design Capability Utilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |
| Flow/ | $90 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ | $84 \%$ |

## DESIGN CAPABILITYUTILIZATION KIRBYAREA - FLOW WITHIN

Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability
$10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{d}$
Kirby Area - Delivery Capability
mmcf/d


$$
\square \text { Historical Flow } \quad-\cdots-\text { Gas Year Markers } \quad-\text { Capability }
$$

| \%/o Design Capability Utilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |  |
| Flow/ | $100 \%$ | $101 \%$ | $101 \%$ | $101 \%$ | $101 \%$ | $101 \%$ |  |

## DESIGN CAPABILITYUTILIZATION NORTH OF BENS LAKE - FLOW WITHIN

Total Deliveries vs. Design Capability
$10^{3} \mathrm{~m}^{3} / \mathrm{d}$
North of Bens Lake - Delivery Capability
mmcf/d

$\square$ Historical Flow $-\cdots$ Gas Year Markers $\quad$ Capability

| \% Design Capability Utilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |
| Flow/ | $87 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $83 \%$ | $83 \%$ |

## DESIGN CAPABILITYUTILIZATION NORTH and EAST - FLOW WITHIN



| \% Design Capability Utilization |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Average | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan |
| Flow/ | $86 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $82 \%$ |

# FUTURE FIRM TRANSPORTATION SERVICE AVAILABILITY 

Please consult with your Marketing Representative to discuss your Firm Transportation Service needs.

Estimated Firm Transportation Service Availability

Please refer to the following web site for current FT-R / FT-D Availability Maps:
http://www.tccustomerexpress.com/2801. html

## HOW TO USE THIS REPORT

## Overview

This report contains recent historical information on the level of utilization of firm transportation Service Agreements on the NGTL system, relative usage of interruptible service, level of utilization of design pipeline capacity.

Data is reported either by Pipeline Segment (25 segments make up the system) or Design Area (13 Design Areas for the system). Maps of both are included in the reference section.

## Firm Transportation Service Contract Utilization

The Firm Transportation Service Contract Utilization report shows the percent utilization for each of the 25 NGTL pipeline segments and 3 major export delivery points comprising the total system. The utilization data is based on billed monthly volumes. Percent utilization is calculated as firm transportation service and firm transportation service + interruptible service divided by applicable receipt or delivery contract level. Historical Data involving billed volumes lags the current date by approximately two months.

## Design Capability Utilization

The load factor/segment flow graphs show actual flow versus design capability values for various NGTL system areas. The graphs also show seasonal (winter/summer) design capability and average load factors (LF) for each season. Load factors are obtained by comparing the receipt, delivery, or throughput flow condition in each of the Alberta design areas against the corresponding design capability. Consequently, design capability utilization is measured as Average Actual Flow / Seasonal Design Capability. Data used in these reports lags the current date by at least one month.

Design Flow Capability utilization is a function of several factors that include:

- Total market demand for Alberta natural gas.
- Seasonal changes in market demand for Alberta natural gas.
- Receipt nominating practices of customers individually and in aggregate to meet that level of demand.
- Scheduled maintenance which could effect actual flow requirement in a design area at any given time.
- Design assumptions used in determining required segment flow requirement.


## Future Firm Transportation Service Availability

The Future Firm Transportation Service Availability report presents guidelines and timing for all future firm transportation service requests.

## NGTL Design Areas




## DEFINITION OF TERMS

## Design Capability Utilization

Actual Flow
The amount of gas flowing within or out of the design area.

## Design Capability

The volume of gas that can be transported from the design area on the pipeline system considering given design assumptions.

AVGLF (Average Load Factor)
The ratio between average Actual Flow and Design Capability. It is calculated for every design season (summer/winter) as shown on the graphs.

Intra NGTL System Deliveries
The amount of sales gas flowing off the system within an area.

## Receipt Flow

Aggregate of actual receipts within an area and the Actual Flow of the upstream area.

## Other

## System Load Factor

The volume weighted average of the Average Load Factor (AVGLF) of all design areas on the system

